				X11		X12		X13		X21		X22		X3	
	<i>X</i> 1	X2	X3	02	sl	<i>s</i> 0	<i>s</i> 1	<i>s</i> 0	sl	<i>s</i> 0	<u>s</u> 1	<i>s</i> 0	<i>s</i> 1	0 <i>2</i>	<u>s1</u>
<i>t</i> ₀	0	0	0												
<i>t</i> ₁	0	0	1				\checkmark						\checkmark		
<i>t</i> ₂	0	1	0						\checkmark						$\overline{\checkmark}$
<i>t</i> ₃	0	1	1		\checkmark							\checkmark		$\overline{\checkmark}$	
<i>t</i> ₄	1	0	0			\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark				
t_5	1	0	1			\checkmark					\checkmark				
t_6	1.	1	0	\checkmark						\checkmark					
t ₇	1	1	1	\checkmark						\checkmark					
= 02	= s-a-0	, s1 =	s-a-1		[[<u> </u>	<u> </u>

path.* Strictly speaking therefore, the shortened fault matrix should also include the s-a-0, s-a-1 columns for X1 and X2 respectively, in which the tests have been derived from

DZ1(X1) and DZ1(X2). In this case, the tests specified in equation (22) will also cover the X1 and X2 faults.

*This is not true for its inverse level. Despite the fact that it cannot be set-up, all other lines presumably can and this may be used to test the inverse fault. In a sense, if it is shown that it is not stuck-at its inverse level, then it has been tested for its stuck-at-clamp level.

References

- BAKER, J. D. (1971). The fault-cover problem in combinational logic circuits, M.Sc. Thesis, Dept. of Electronics, University of Southampton. BENNETTS, R. G. (1971). The diagnosis of logical faults, *Wireless World*, Part 1, July 1971, pp. 325-328, Part II, August 1971, pp. 383-385, letter to Editor Sept. 1971, pp. 428-429.
- BENNETTS, R. G., and LEWIN, D. W. (1971). Fault diagnosis of digital systems—a review, *The Computer Journal*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 199-206. Also *IEEE Computer*, July/Aug. 1971, pp. 12-20.
- LEWIN, D. W., PURSLOW, E., and BENNETTS, R. G. (1972). Computer assisted logic design—the CALD system, IEE Conference Publication No. 86, CAD conference, University of Southampton, pp. 343-351.
- ROTH, J. P. (1966). Diagnosis of automata failure: a calculus and a method, IBM Journal R & D, Vol. 10, pp. 278-291.
- SELLERS, F. F., HSIAO, M. Y., and BEARNSON, L. W. (1968a). Analysing errors with the Boolean difference, IEEE Trans. on Computers, Vol. C-17, pp. 676-683.
- SELLERS, F. F., HSIAO, M. Y., and BEARNSON, L. W. (1968b). Error detecting logic for digital computers, p. 25. McGraw-Hill.
- WATERS, M. C. (1970). A list-processing language for use in FORTRAN and ALGOL, Internal report, Dept. of Electronics, University of Southampton.

Correspondence

To the Editor The Computer Journal

Sir,

Permit me to draw your attention to some errors in the article 'A quasi-intrinsic scheme for passing a smooth curve through a discrete set of points' in your issue of November 1970.

The equations (1) should give
$$\frac{dy}{dt}$$
 as

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \sin \theta_K + (\sin \theta_{K+1} - \sin \theta_K) \frac{t}{T} + C_K t (T-t)$$

The equation as given is therefore wrong by reason of symmetry and the fact that both $\frac{dx}{dt}$ and $\frac{dy}{dt}$ are stated to be quadratics in t (this is only true of $\frac{dx}{dt}$ as given in the article).

Upon integration of the corrected equations (1) to give equations (2), the equation for y should be

$$y = y_{K} + \sin \theta_{K}t + (\sin \theta_{K+1} - \sin \theta_{K})\frac{t^{2}}{2T} + C_{K}\left(\frac{Tt^{2}}{2} - \frac{t^{3}}{3}\right)$$

I have programmed both the printed version and my corrected version and have found that only the corrected version gives P_K for t = 0 and P_{K+1} for t = T > 0 (where T has the value T_K as given elsewhere in the article).

Yours faithfully, W. COOPER (student)

Glasgow University Computing Dept. Glasgow W.1 23 February 1972