# An algorithm for constructing University timetables 

By Mary Almond*

This paper gives details of a simple heuristic approach to the University timetable problem. The method is used to construct a timetable for one department and an integrated timetable for all departments in a Science Faculty.

Scheduling lectures is tedious and frustrating work, and the problem of applying computers to this task is currently being investigated in many countries. Several of the published reports discuss theoretical solutions only (Gotlieb, 1963; Csima and Gotlieb, 1963; Sherman 1963). Other authors have achieved some practical success in constructing school or University class timetables (Appleby, Blake and Newman, 1961) in preparing examination tables (Broder, 1964; Cole, 1964) and in assigning students to sections of a class according to a previously prepared timetable (Bossert and Harmon, 1963).

This paper describes algorithms for a heuristic approach starting with a blank timetable and making class-lecturer assignments so as to satisfy complex conditions. Two problems have been considered:
(a) a timetable for one department in which courses for each class are fixed; and
(b) a timetable for a whole faculty in which courses offered by different departments may be combined in various ways to suit individual students.
The algorithms have been written in ALGOL 60 and used on the University of London Atlas computer. The resulting timetables will be used in the Mathematics Department and the Science Faculty at Queen Mary College, University of London.

## PROBLEM (a): Timetable for one department

## Statement of the Problem

Given a set of lecturers, a set of classes and a Class Requirements matrix with integer elements representing the number of hours lecturer ( $l$ ) is to meet class (c) during each week, the problem is to allocate times ( $t$ ) to these hours satisfying certain given conditions. Hence there are 3 variables, $l, c, t$ and it is convenient to think of assignments being made in a 3-dimensional Boolean array as shown in Fig. 1. The algorithm uses the three 2-dimensional arrays which form the three rectangular faces of this brick. Face 1 is the Class Requirements matrix. Face 2 is of dimensions (lecturer) $\times$ (time) and is called the Lecturer Availability matrix. It is a Boolean matrix whose coefficients are false for hours when the lecturer is free and true for hours when he is unavailable. The Timetable, face 3 , is an integer matrix of size (class) $\times$ (time) whose coefficient in row $c$ and column $t$ will be the name of the lecturer $l$ meeting class $c$ at time $t$.


Fig. 1

## Input

Initially the matrices in faces 1 and 2 contain input data. The Initial Class Requirements matrix gives the total number of hours each lecturer is to meet each class. The Initial Lecturer Availability matrix has entries true when a member of staff is lecturing to another department or has a free day. These two matrices are duplicated in the Current Requirements matrix and the Current Lecturer Availability matrix which can be repeatedly updated as the timetable is constructed.

## Output

The matrix in face 3 is at first a null matrix, and finally it contains the completed timetable. It is printed in its existing form using a write-text procedure for lecturers' names.

## Algorithm

The method for solution is to consider the entries in the Requirements matrix one-by-one and allocate to each a suitable lecture hour. When an allocation has been made at time $t$ for class $i$ and lecturer $l$, then one is subtracted from the integer in row $c$ and column $l$ of the Current Requirements matrix, the Current Lecturer Availability matrix is marked true at row $l$ and column $t$ and the value of $l$ is inserted at a point on the $c$ th row and $t$ th column of the Timetable matrix. This process is illustrated in Fig. 2. In general the solution will not be unique, and different versions of the timetable may be obtained by scanning the Requirements matrix in different directions. If any allocation fails certain con-
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Fig. 2
ditions are changed, the Timetable is wiped clean and the whole process is restarted from the initial conditions. The ALGOL version of the program is given in Table 1.

Three predeclared procedures allocate one lecture, alter conditions and copy initial matrices are used.
(i) The allocate procedure (see Fig. 3), searches for a suitable lecture time avoiding the hours already filled and satisfying any desired conditions. For example the results illustrated in Tables 2 and 3 meet the following conditions.
(a) Several lecturers take classes at fixed times in other departments or faculties.
(b) All members of staff have one free day each week.
(c) Senior members of staff do not like 9.30 a.m. lectures.
(d) Lecturers may ask for extra free hours which will be allowed if possible.
(e) A lecturer should not meet the same undergraduate class twice in any half day, but he might meet a class in both the morning and afternoon of one day.
( $f$ ) Lectures to postgraduate classes last for two consecutive hours and should begin at $10.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. or $2.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
(g) Undergraduates have no lectures on Wednesday afternoons.
(h) If possible, no-one should be asked to lecture for three consecutive hours.
(i) All lectures should be given in the morning in preference to the afternoon.
(j) The classes are split into two or three groups for exercises.

To meet conditions (a), (b), (c), (d) appropriate entries true must be made in the Initial Lecturer Availability matrix. The allocation will then avoid these hours. Conditions (e), $(f),(g),(h)$ are satisfied by a series of tests in the allocation procedure. The hours of the week are numbered in such a way that the mornings are always filled first, i.e., numbers 1 to 5 for the first hours of the mornings Monday to Friday, numbers 6 to 10 for the second hours of the day, and so on. When an

Table 1
timetable: for $c:=1$ step 1 until total classes do for $n:=1$ step 1 until number of hours do begin allocate one lecture; if fail then
begin alter conditions; copy initial matrices: goto timetable end end;
exercise class is being allocated it may use the same hour as a previous exercise class provided that the lecturers involved are available.
(ii) The alter conditions procedure which is called in when an allocation fails carries out a series of manoeuvres in an attempt to find a solution. First the classes are reordered so that the one proving difficult will be inserted in a blank timetable. If this is unsuccessful the lecturer whose hour cannot be allocated is given a different free day. Finally if all free days prove impossible the lecturer will have his extra free hours removed. or he may have to give three consecutive lectures. If this fails the procedure prints a postmortem and brings the program to a halt.
(iii) After an alteration in conditions the Initial Requirements and Initial Lecturer Availability matrices are recopied into the Current Requirements and Current Lecturer Availability matrices, and the timetable matrix is made null. The allocation procedure is then re-entered.

## Result

Timetables produced by this program are shown in Tables 2 and 3. The program was contained in 32 512 -word blocks on the Atlas computer. Compilation took approximately 9 seconds and execution for these examples took about $7 \frac{1}{2}$ seconds.

Execution time will vary considerably with the difficulty of finding a solution. When the alter conditions procedure was not needed times of 5 to 8 seconds were taken, and each additional attempt took approximately 2 extra seconds. A few seconds would be saved if the Timetable were printed in terms of lecturer's numbers rather than names.

## PROBLEM (b): Timetable for the Faculty

## Statentent of the problem

Given a set of lecturers, a set of courses on individual topics and a Course Requirements matrix with integer elements representing the number of hours lecturer ( $l$ ) meets course (c) during each week, the problem is to allocate times $(t)$ to these hours so that a student may take as many suitable combinations of courses as possible.

As before the assignments are made in a 3 -dimensional array, see Fig. 4. Side $c$ is now of length corresponding to the total number of courses. Again the algorithm


Fig. 3

Table 2
Timetable for the Mathematics Department, Version 1

| Class | Hi | HII | HIII | PIII | PG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Thomas | Carter | King | Shaw | - |
| 10.30 | Fisher | Exercises | Hughes | King | Peters |
| 11.30 | Shaw | Thomas | Pratt | - | Peters |
| 12.30 | - | - | Rose | - | - |
| 2.30 | - | - | Pratt | - | Gray |
| 3.30 | - | - | - | - | Gray |
| Tuesday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Lewis | White | Shaw | - | - |
| 10.30 | Fisher | Rogers | White | Exercises | - |
| 11.30 | Andrew | Green | Fuller | King | - |
| 12.30 | - | - | Reader | - | - |
| 2.30 | - | Green | King | - | - |
| 3.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wednesday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Thomas | Exercises | Rogers | - | - |
| 10.30 | Andrew | Carter | Reader | - | Fisher |
| 11.30 | - | Thomas | Green | - | Fisher |
| 12.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Thursday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Shaw | Rowland | Rose | - | - |
| 10.30 | Lewis | Andrew | Hughes | - | Grant |
| 11.30 | Thomas | White | Shaw | - | Grant |
| 12.30 | - | - | Fuller | - | - |
| 2.30 | - | - | Green | - | - |
| 3.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Friday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Shaw | Rowland | King | - | - |
| 10.30 | Andrew | Rogers | White | Shaw | Coles |
| 11.30 | - | Andrew | Rogers | - | Coles |
| 12.30 | - | - | Rose | - | - |
| 2.30 | - | - | White | - | - |
| 3.30 | - | - | - | - | - |

uses the three rectangular faces of this brick for the Course Requirements, Lecturer Availability and Timetable matrices. In addition, a 2-dimensional Boolean array known as the Conflicts matrix, lists groups of courses whose lecture times must not conflict. For example, in row 1 chemistry, physics, mechanics and their associated laboratory classes could all be given the value true.

## Input

Input data must include information for the Initial Course Requirements and the Initial Lecturer Availability matrices. Again lecturers will have free days
and may be occupied with lectures in other faculties. As before these matrices are copied into Current versions which can be updated during the allocation.

Groups of courses which should be available for a student are put into rows of the Conflicts matrix. These groups may be in two categories, essential and desirable.

## Output

In keeping with the usual Faculty convention the timetable is printed as a matrix of dimensions (day of week) $\times$ (time of day) whose coefficients are lists of the lectures taking place at that hour. To produce this timetable, face 3 of the brick in Fig. 4 is stored as a

## Timetables

Table 3
Timetable for the Mathematics Department, Version 2
(Version 2 uses the same data as Version 1, but the Requirements matrix is scanned in the opposite direction)

| Class | Hi | HII | HIII | PIII | PG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Lewis | Rogers | Reader | Shaw | - |
| 10.30 | Shaw | Thomas | Rose | King | Gray |
| 11.30 | Thomas | Carter | Rogers | - | Gray |
| 12.30 | - | - | Pratt | - | - |
| 2.30 | - | Carter | King | - | Fisher |
| 3.30 | - | - | Pratt | - | Fisher |
| Tuesday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Andrew | Green | Fuller | Exercises | - |
| 10.30 | Fisher | Exercises | Shaw | King | - |
| 11.30 | Lewis | White | King | - | - |
| 12.30 | - | Thomas | White | - | - |
| 2.30 | - | - | Hughes | - | - |
| 3.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wednesday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Andrew | Exercises | Green | - | - |
| 10.30 | Thomas | Green | White | - | Coles |
| 11.30 | Fisher | Rogers | Hughes | - | Coles |
| 12.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Thursday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Shaw | Andrew | Rose | - | - |
| 10.30 | Andrew | Rowland | Green | - | Grant |
| 11.30 | Thomas | White | Fuller | - . | Grant |
| 12.30 | - | - | White | - : | - |
| 2.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3.30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Friday |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | Shaw | Andrew | Reader | - | - |
| 10.30 | - | Rowland | Rogers | Shaw | Peters |
| 11.30 | - | - | Shaw | - | Peters |
| 12.30 | - | - | Rose | - | - |
| 2.30 | - | - | King | - | - |
| 3.30 | - | - | - | - | - |

Boolean matrix of size (course) $\times$ (time). The output procedure must scan the columns of this matrix to form the lists of lectures for each hour.

## Algorithm

The basic algorithm is unchanged. The previous ALGOL program-is repeated with courses replacing classes in the outermost cycle.

The allocation procedure must satisfy the same conditions as before. In addition some of the courses now include laboratory classes which require from 2 to 5
consecutive hours. These are allocated first by putting them at the head of the list of courses. The number of lectures allocated at each hour can be limited by the number of lecture theatres available.
The procedure also scans the Conflicts matrix for any groups of courses containing the course which is being allocated, and ensures that its lecture hour will not coincide with any of the other courses in any of these groups.

The block diagram for procedure allocate is shown in Fig. 5 and the ALGOL version is given in Table 4.

Timetables


Fig. 5

Table 4

## ALGOL version of procedure allocate

procedure allocate $(c, l)$; integer $c, l$;
begin integer $t, j, g, p$;
if $C R[c, l] \neq 0$ then
begin if $c<$ laboratory then goto lab; $t:=0$;
next time: $t:=t+1$; if $t \leqslant 40$ then
begin if $C L A[l, t]$ then goto next time;
for $j:=23,28,33,38$ do if $t=j$ then goto next time;
for $j:=1,2,3,4,5,21,22,24,25$ do if $t=j$ and ((CLA[l, $t+5]$ and $C L A[l, t+10])$ or $(T T[c, t+5]$ or $T T[c, t+10]$ or $T T[c, t+15])$ ) then goto next time;
for $j:=6,7,8,9,10,26,27,29,30$ do if $t=j$ and $((C L A[l, t+5]$ and
$(C L A[l, t-5]$ or $C L A[l, t+10]))$ or $(T T[c, t-5]$ or $T T[c, t+5]$ or $T T[c, t+10]))$ then goto next time;
for $j:=11,12,13,14,15,31,32,34,35$ do if $t=j$ and $((C L A[l, t-5]$ and (CLA[l,t-10] or CLA[l,t+5])) or (TT[ $c, t-10]$ or $T T[c, t-5]$ or $T T[c, t+5]))$ then goto next time;
for $j:=16,17,18,19,20,36,37,39,40$ do if $t=j$ and $((C L A[l, t-10]$ and $C L A[l, t-5])$ or $(T T[c, t-15]$ or $T T[c, t-10]$ or $T T[c, t-5])$ ) then goto next time;
if $r m s[t]>$ rooms then goto next time;
for $g:=1$ step 1 until course do
if $C[g, c]$ then
for $p:=1$ step 1 until lecture do
if $C[g, p]$ then begin if $T T[p, t]$ then goto next time end;
$T T[c, t]:=C L A[l, t]:=$ true; $C R[c, l]:=C R[c, l]-1 ; r m s[t]:=r m s[t]+1$
end
else fail := true; goto exit;
$l a b: t:=10$;
next lab time: $t:=t+1$; if $t \leqslant 15$ then
begin if $t=13$ and $C R[c, l]>2$ then goto next lab time;
for $g:=1$ step 1 until course do
if $C[g, c]$ then
for $p:=1$ step 1 until lecture do
if $C[g, p]$ then begin if $T T[p, t]$ or $T T[p, t+10]$ and $C R[c, l]>2$
then goto next lab time end;
if $(C R[c, l]=2$ or $C R[c, l]=5)$ and not $C L A[l, t]$ and not $C L A[l, t+5]$ then
begin $T T[c, t]:=T T[c, t+5]:=C L A[l, t]:=C L A[l, t+5]:=$ true;

$$
C R[c, l]:=C R[c, l]-2
$$

end;
if $(C R[c, l]=3$ or $C R[c, l]=4)$ and $\operatorname{not} C L A[l, t+10]$
and not $C L A[l, t+15]$ and not $C L A[l, t+20]$ then
begin $T T[c, t+10]:=T T[c, t+15]:=T T[c, t+20]:=$ true;
$C L A[l, t+10]:=C L A[l, t+15]:=C L A[l, t+20]:=$ true;
$C R[c, l]:=C R[c, l]-3$
end;
if $C R[c, l]=1$ and not $C L A[l, t+25]$ then
begin $T T[c, t+25]:=C L A[l, t+25]:=$ true $; C R[c, l]:=0$ end
end
else fail $:=$ true
end;
exit: end allocate;


Fig. 4

The alter conditions procedure again tries first a reordering of the courses. If this fails then the lecturers' free days can be adjusted, one or two extra lecture theatres may be used, or finally those groups of courses which are desirable rather than essential can be neglected.

## Results

Typical results are illustrated in Tables 5 and 6. The program was contained in 40 storage blocks of Atlas, compilation took about 10 sec , execution for Table 5 $12 \cdot 5 \mathrm{sec}$ and for Table 640 sec .

## Possible groups of courses

A student is expected to take three courses at once, hence an extra procedure was added which would scan the final Boolean timetable and list all possible combinations of three courses. For example, there are over 100 possible groups of three courses for the timetable in Table 5.
Timetables and lists of possible courses were produced for each of a student's first four semesters.
An extra program then takes as input data these four lists of three courses and also any prerequisite courses for courses in semesters 2 to 4 , and produces a list of

Table 5
Science Faculty Timetable for Semester 1
Courses are represented by the department initial (B Botany, Z Zoology, C Chemistry, P Physics, M Mathematics, G Geology, g Geography), followed by a reference number within the department.


Timetables
Table 6
Science Faculty Timetable for Semester 4

|  | 9.30 | 10.30 | 11.30 | 12.30 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday | B2 <br> B7 <br> Z4 <br> Z10 <br> C12 <br> P11 <br> M8 <br> M34 <br> M35 <br> gl5 | B9 <br> C4 <br> C16 <br> P9 <br> M10 <br> M28 <br> M36 <br> M37 <br> G5 <br> g5 | B2lab <br> B7lab <br> Z4lab <br> Z10lab <br> C17 <br> P12 <br> M11 <br> M14 <br> M29 <br> g15lab | M16 <br> M17 <br> M31 | C12lab P11lab M33 |  |  |  |
| Tuesday | B2 <br> B7 <br> Z4 <br> Z10 <br> C12 <br> P11 <br> M8 <br> M11 <br> M34 <br> M35 | Z13 <br> C5 <br> P9 <br> M10 <br> M28 <br> M38 <br> G2 <br> G6 | B8lab Z5lab Z11lab P5lab P12 M13 M30 g8 g19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { M17 } \\ \text { g20 } \end{gathered}$ | C16lab g17lab |  |  |  |
| Wednesday | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B8 } \\ & \text { Z5 } \\ & \text { Z11 } \\ & \text { Z12 } \\ & \text { C12 } \\ & \text { P5 } \\ & \text { M9 } \\ & \text { g7 } \end{aligned}$ | Z13 <br> C5 <br> P10 <br> M10 <br> M28 <br> G2 <br> G5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B10 } \\ & \text { P4 } \\ & \text { P12 } \\ & \text { M15 } \\ & \text { M30 } \\ & \text { g20 } \end{aligned}$ | M12 <br> M16 <br> M17 <br> M32 | . |  |  |  |
| Thursday | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B8 } \\ & \text { Z5 } \\ & \text { Z11 } \\ & \text { Z12 } \\ & \text { C16 } \\ & \text { P4 } \\ & \text { M9 } \\ & \text { M36 } \\ & \text { M37 } \\ & \text { g5 } \end{aligned}$ | C17 <br> P10 <br> M8 <br> M11 <br> M29 <br> M38 <br> G2 <br> g16 | B9lab <br> Z12lab <br> C6 <br> M14 <br> M15 <br> M30 <br> G5lab | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M12 } \\ & \text { M32 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C4lab } \\ & \text { M33 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Friday | B9 <br> C4 <br> C16 <br> P9 <br> M9 <br> M14 <br> M34 <br> M35 <br> G5 <br> g5 | B10 <br> C17 <br> P4 <br> P10 <br> M13 <br> M29 <br> M36 <br> M37 <br> g6 <br> g18 | B10lab <br> Z13lab <br> M12 <br> M15 <br> M16 <br> M31 <br> M38 <br> G6lab | C6 <br> M32 | C5lab C17lab G2lab |  |  |  |

Table 7
Some possible combinations of courses

| SEMESTER 1 |  |  | SEMESTER 2 |  |  | SEMESTER 3 |  |  | SEMESTER 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Z3 | M4 | M6 | Z5 | M11 | M16 | P7 | P8 | M26 | P9 | P10 | P11 |
| M1 | M6 | M7 | M13 | M15 | M17 | M23 | M25 | M27 | M34 | M36 | M38 |
| P3 | M1 | M3 | P5 | M8 | M10 | P6 | M18 | M19 | M28 | M29 | M30 |
| C1 | C2 | M4 | C4 | C5 | M11 | C7 | C8 | M2 | C12 | C16 | C17 |
| Z1 | C1 | C2 | Z4 | C4 | C5 | B4 | B5 | B6 | B7 | B8 | B10 |
| Z2 | C1 | G1 | B2 | C4 | G2 | C7 | G3 | G4 | C12 | G5 | G6 |
| Z3 | P1 | M5 | B2 | Z5 | M12 | Z6 | Z7 | Z8 | Z10 | Z11 | Z13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

all possible groups of twelve courses which a student could study during his first four semesters using the given timetables. A section of the results of this program is illustrated in Table 7.

The basic principles of these algorithms for producing timetables seem very simple and it is hoped that other people may be able to adapt them for their own purposes.
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## Notice: Newsletter for numerical analysts

The ACM Special Interest Committee on Numerical Mathematics (SICNUM) will begin publication of a newsletter in order to provide numerical analysts with a fast means of communication below the journal level. The newsletter is free and will be sent upon request. (ACM membership is not required.) The newsletter will appear as frequently as there is sufficient material.

Material for the newsletter is solicited. The material will not be refereed. It should be submitted in duplicate on bond in a form ready for publication. Material appropriate for journal publication should not be sent to the newsletter. Requests to receive the newsletter and material for the newsletter should be sent to the chairman of SICNUM:

DR. J. F. TRAUB, Mathematics Research Center, Bell Telephone Laboratories, Incorporated, Murray Hill, New Jersey.

A partial list of the type of material which is appropriate for publication in the newsletter follows:
(a) Material relating to numerical algorithms.
(b) Announcement of available programs, especially packages; critical discussions of existing programs.
(c) Announcement of availability of technical reports; announcement of new books.
(d) Announcement of meetings of interest to numerical analysts.
(e) Letters to the editor-thinkpieces.
( $f$ ) Problems and their solutions.
(g) Discussions of curricula.
(h) Personal news.
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