# LITHP—an ALGOL list processor 

By R．W．L．Trundle＊

List Processing has become the primary mechanism for the manipulation of the data structures found in most branches of non－numerical analysis．This paper describes a simple implementation of list processing which can be used on any machine having a suitable ALGOL compiler．

A list processing package was written in collaboration with Mr．P．Hammersley of Northampton College of Advanced Technology for use on the Elliott 503，and the Ferranti Pegasus，though further development was halted on the Pegasus due to lack of store and also on the 503 due to limitations of the Elliott ALGOL．The list processing methods used here depend very heavily on those of Woodward and Jenkins of The Royal Radar Establishment（Woodward and Jenkins，1961；Jenkins， 1964）－in fact，the processes used in this paper are a slight variation on their exposition of McCarthy＇s LISP（McCarthy，1960）．The object of this paper is to facilitate the implementation of a list processing pro－ cedure on any machine that has an adequate ALGOL compiler，and for descriptive purposes the program is called LITHP．As list processing is essentially recursive， an ALGOL implementation that is not fully recursive itself，such as that of the 503，may impose severe restric－ tions on the capability of the machine to handle LITHP． However，no such difficulties should occur with machines which have a full ALGOL implementation．

This package consists of sixteen procedures which can be subdivided into four groups：

1．THE CODE PRIMITIVES type，assemble，tag，extract， inword and put，which are used to construct the other procedures of the package．
2．the list processing procedures $h d$ ，$t l$ ，cons， atom，equ and null from which all list processing operations can be constructed．
3．THE HOUSEKEEPING PROCEDURES clear and start， used for garbage collection and initiation．
4．input／output by inatom and out．
The whole package is nested within two block headings to enable global variables and arrays to be declared for the package and the user program．Thus the overall structure is：

| begin | 〈global variables〉 <br> begin |
| :---: | :--- |
|  | 〈global arrays〉 <br>  <br>  <br> 〈LITHP package〉 <br> begin 〈user program＞ <br> end <br> end； |


the $p$－word that is the list $x$

the nil atom

a modified form of diagram showing the list $x=(A)$
Fig． 1

## Machine representation of lists

Two sorts of elements comprise the main list processing store：these are atoms（the basic data to be manipulated） and $p$－words（the link elements that form the structure of the lists）．All other words in the store are on the lists of available space．$p$－words and atoms can be shown schematically as in Fig． 1 where $x$ is the name of a list and $A$ is an atom．

Every word in the stack is divided into three parts：
（i）tag－which consists of a single bit，and in the current implementations this is taken as the sign bit；its use will be discussed under garbage collection．
（ii）type－which consists of two or three bits suf－ ficient to enumerate the types of atoms and $p$－words that are in the stack．
（iii）body－which comprises the remainder of the word and is subdivided according to the integer represented by type：
for a p－word，body is divided into two parts （head and tail）
for an atom，body is divided into a number of characters that constitute the atom．

These subdivisions are illustrated in Fig． 2 with reference to the 39 －bit word of the Elliott 503．For a $p$－word，type $=1$ ；for an atom，type $=2$ ；while for a word on the list of available space，type $=0$.

A useful extension would be to increase the length of an atom by having two types of atom words，an inter－ mediate and a terminal，so that an atom could become a simple non－branching list．
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Fig. 2

## The global variables and arrays

There are in fact only two global arrays which comprise a vector of names of lists, " $x$ ", and a main store called "stack" which contains all the information about the lists.

There are four global variables:
(i) tor-the size of the vector $x$
(ii) top-the size of the vector stack
(iii) tel-the stack pointer
(iv) nil-the nil atom

In any implementation tor, top, tel and nil are, of course, all integer variables.

## The code primitives

1. integer procedure type $(p)$; value $p$; integer $p$; comment

This procedure collates and shifts those bits of the word in the stack that are type, and expresses the result as an integer;
begin code end;
2. integer procedure assemble $(a, b, c)$; value $a, b, c$; integer $a, b, c$;

## comment

Assemble packs the three integers $a, b$ and $c$ into a single p-word, as the head, tail and type respectively;
begin code end;
3. integer procedure $\operatorname{tag}(p) ;$ integer $p$; comment

This procedure negates the single bit that is the tag of an element of the stack;
begin code end;
4. integer procedure extract $(a, b)$; value $a, b$; integer $a, b$;
comment
Extract gives the integer that is the head or tail of the p-word $b$ according to whether $a=1$ or not. If $b$ is not a p-word an error condition occurs;
begin code end;
5. integer procedure in word;
comment
This procedure scans the input medium for an atom initial delimiter, and for the 503 this was taken to be a tild, $\sim$. The ensuing characters are then packed into a single word until the word capacity has been reached or a terminal delimiter has been encountered, which for the 503 is a space;
begin code end;
6. procedure put ( $s$ ); value $s$; integer $s$; comment

This procedure unpacks the characters that comprise the atom $s$ and transmits them to the output medium;
begin code end;

## List structure

Let $x, y$ and $z$ be list names and $A, B, C$ and $D$ be atoms. Use the following notation:
(i) $x=(A, B)$ to mean the list $x$ consists of the atoms $A$ and $B$.
(ii) $y=C$ to mean that $y$ is the atom $C$.
(iii) $z=(D)$ to mean that the list $z$ consists of the atom $D$.
Using the modified form of the schematics of Fig. 1, these structures are shown in Fig. 3.


Fig. 3


Fig. 4
As the elements of a list can themselves be lists, complex structures can be built from atoms and $p$-words. An example of this is the list $x=(((A,(B)),(C))$, (D)), E) illustrated in Fig. 4.

## The list processing procedures

These six procedures are based on the WoodwardJenkins definition. They fall into two groups of three: Boolean procedures used to test the status of words in the stack, and integer procedures that are used to manipulate list structures. This latter group can best be defined by means of examples which are illustrated using the schematics of Fig. 1.
(1) if $t=(A, B, C, D)$
then $h d(t)=A$, and $t l(t)=(B, C, D)$


Fig. 5.1
(2) if $u=A$ is an atom then $h d(u)$ and $t(u)$ are undefined
(3) if $v=(A)$
then $h d(v)=A$ and $t(v)=n i l$


$$
h d(v)-A
$$

$$
1(v)-1
$$

Fig. 5.2
The ALGOL procedures for $h d$ and $t l$ are:

```
integer procedure \(h d(p)\); value \(p\); integer \(p\);
    \(h d:=\) extract \((1, p)\);
integer procedure \(t l(p)\); value \(p ;\) integer \(p\);
    \(t l:=\operatorname{extract}(2, p):\)
```

The ALGOL instructions $x:=h d(x)$; and $y:=t l(y)$; cause the tail and head respectively of $x$ and $y$ to be lost.
(4) if $u=A$, and $w=n i l$ then cons $(u, w)=(A)$


Fig. 5.3
(5) $u=A, y=(C, D)$ cons $(u, y)=(A, C, D)$


Fig. 5.4
(6) $x=(A, B), y=(C, D)$ cons $(x, y)=((A, B), C, D)$


Fig. 5.5
cons ( $h d(x)$, cons (hd(tl(x)), z))


Fig. 5.6
(7) $x=(A, B), z=(C, D, E)$ cons $(h d(x)$, cons $(h d(t l(x)), z))=(A, B, C, D, E)$
The ALGOL procedure for cons is:
integer procedure cons ( $p, q$ ); value $p, q$; integer $p, q$;
begin integer $u$; if atom $(q) \wedge \neg$ null $(q)$ then begin comment this is an error condition. The solution adopted was to output an error message and equate the list to the nil atom; cons $:=0$; go to fin
end else cons $:=u:=$ tel $;$ tel $:=$ stack $[u]$; stack $[u]:=$ assemble $(p, q, l)$; comment this takes the next word off the stack, forms it into a p-word and increments the stack pointer; if $t e l=$ nil then clear; comment if stack exhausted call garbage collector; fin: end;
The three Boolean procedures together with their ALGOL implementations are:
(i) atom (A) which has a value true if $A$ is an atom, otherwise false.
boolean procedure atom ( $p$ ); value $p$; integer $p$; atom $:=$ type $(p)=2 ;$
（ii）equ $(A, B)$ defined for any parameter $A$ and an atom $B$ has the value true if $A$ is the atom $B$ ， otherwise false．
boolean procedure equ $(p, q)$ ；value $p, q$ ；integer $p, q$ ；
equ：＝if atom $(q)$ then $\operatorname{stack}[p]=\operatorname{stack}[q]$ else false；
（iii）null（a）which is true if $A$ is the nil atom，otherwise false．
boolean procedure null $(p)$ ；value $p ; \quad$ integer $p$ ； $n u l l:=\operatorname{stack}[p]=n i l ;$

## Garbage collection

This parameterless procedure retrieves from the stack all words that are not elements of lists and concatenates them into a list of available space．The process is to tag all words on the stack that can be traced from list names；all untagged words can now be formed into a simple non－branching list．The following program gives an explanation of the procedure for doing this：

## procedure clear；

begin integer $j, k$ ；boolean first；integer array $z[1: 50]$ ； comment

An arbitrary assumption has been made that not more than 50 branches will need to be held at the same time in the auxiliary stack $z$ ．This can，of course，be varied at will；
for $j:=1$ step 1 until tor do
begin integer $p ; p:=x[j] ; k:=1$ ；
$A$ ：if stack $[p] \leqslant 0$ then
begin tag（stack［p］）；
if type $(p)=1$ then
begin $z[k]:=t l(p) ; k:=k+1$ ；
$p:=h d(p) ;$ go to $A$
end
end；
if $k>1$ then
begin $k:=k-1 ; p:=z[k] ;$ go to $A$ end
end；
for $j:=1$ step 1 until top do tag（stack［j］）；
first $:=$ true；
for $j:=1$ step 1 until top do
begin if stack $[j]<0$ then
begin if first then
begin tel $:=k:=j$ ；first $:=$ false end
else $k:=\operatorname{stack}[k]:=j$ ；
tag（stack［j］）
end
end；
stack $[k]:=n i l$
end；

## Initiation

This is a single parameterless procedure that must be the first instruction of the user program．Its function is：
（i）to set nil as an atom with a zero body；
（ii）to set each list on the vector of lists，$x$ ，to be a list of a nil atom；
（iii）to concatenate the vector，stack，making it a single list of a nil atom．
The procedure is：
procedure $S T A R T$ ； begin integer run；
code；comment to set nil to the null atom；
for run $:=1$ step 1 until tor do
$x[r u n]:=0 ;$ stack $[0]:=$ nil；
comment every list is now a null atom；
for run $:=1$ step 1 until top do stack $[r u n]:=0$ ；
clear；comment the call of clear concatenates the stack and sets the stack pointer，tel，to the head of the list that the stack now forms．
end；

## Input／output

This is of necessity highly machine－dependent but by the use of two code primitives，inword and put，and the assumption of the procedures print 〈＂string＂〉 and print〈control character〉，input／output can be described in terms of ALGOL procedures：

```
Input
    integer procedure inatom;
        begin integer mark;
            inatom:= mark:= tel;
            tel:= stack [tel];
            stack [mark]:= inword + nil;
```

        comment this puts the word on the stack and incre-
                    ments the stack pointer;
        if \(\mathrm{tel}=\) nil then clear;
        comment if the stack is exhausted the garbage
                collector is called.
    end;
    It is only necessary to input the string that comprises a single atom，as all lists are constructed from atoms by use of the list processing procedures．Lists can be built up by use of such operations as $x:=$ cons（inatom，$x$ ）； An atom can only be attached to the head of a list，so that a list is formed in the reverse order to that in which the atoms are input．

## Output

Output is achieved by tracing a list from its head； each time a $p$－word is encountered the address of the tail is put on an auxiliary push－down stack，and the trace is continued from the head of that $p$－word．When no further progress can be made the process goes to the address at the top of the auxiliary stack，and when this is also exhausted the complete list has been output．To obtain a normal list output of the form $((A, B), C,(D), E)$ the following conventions are used：
for a $p$－word encountered during a head trace，output


Fig. 6
for a $p$-word on the auxiliary stack, output for an atom, output
for a nil atom on the auxiliary stack, output
the atom )

To illustrate this consider the list $x=((((A,(B)),(C))$, $(D), E)$, given in Fig. 4, that is shown again diagrammatically in Fig. 6, where this list is taken to be occupying locations 1 to 23 of the stack. The integers in the $p$-words are the head and tail addresses.

Fig. 7 shows the order in which the elements of the list are handled, and the contents of the auxiliary pushdown stack at each stage.

| LOCATION | CONTENTS | OUTPUT | CONTENTS OF PUSH-DOWN AUXILIARY STACK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2:3 | ( | 3 |
| 2 | 4:5 | ( | 5, 3 |
| 4 | 6:7 | , | 7, 5, 3 |
| 6 | 8:9 | ( | 9, 7, 5, 3 |
| 8 |  | $A$ | 9, 7, 5, 3 |
| 9 | 10: 11 |  | 11, 7, 5, 3 |
| 10 | 12:13 | ( | 13, 11, 7, 5, 3 |
| 12 |  | B | 13, 11, 7, 5, 3 |
| 13 | nil | ) | 11, 7, 5, 3 |
| 11 | ${ }^{\text {nil }}$, 15 | ) | 7, 5, 3 |
| 7 | 14:15 |  | 15, 5, 3 |
| 14 | ${ }_{C}^{16: 17}$ | $\stackrel{\text { C }}{ }$ | 17, 15, 5, 3 |
| 17 | nil | C | 17, 5, 5 , 3 |
| 15 | nil | ) | 5,3 |
| 5 | 18: 19 |  | 19, 3 |
| 18 | 20:21 | ( | 21, 19, 3 |
| 20 | D | D | 21, 19, 3 |
| 21 | nil | ) | 19, 3 |
| 19 | nil | ) | 3 |
| ${ }^{3}$ | 22: 23 |  | 23 |
| 22 | E nil EVIT | ) | 23 |
|  | EXIT |  |  |

Fig. 7

The flow chart, Fig. 8, together with the following ALGOL program, gives the general method. The limitations and restrictions of the host compiler will, of course, determine the modifications that may have to be made before implementation.

The procedure is:
procedure out ( $j$ ); value $j$; integer $j$;
begin integer $p, c, k, q q, r$; integer array $z[1: 50] ;$ $k:=1, c:=0 ; p:=j$; go to $A$; $B: z[k]:=t l(p) ; c:=c+1 ; k:=k+1 ; p:=h d(p) ;$
$A$ : if atom ( $p$ ) then
begin $q q:=\operatorname{stack}[p] ;$ put $(q q) ; c:=c+5$;
$C$ : if $k \leqslant 1$ then go to fin ;
$k:=k-1 ; p:=z[k]$; if atom $(p)$ then
begin if null ( $p$ ) then
begin print (")"); $c:=c+1$; go to $C$ end;
print (error message); comment salvage routine required.
end;
print (",'"); if $c \geqslant 90$ then
begin print (new line); $c:=0$ end; go to $B$
end;
print ("('"); go to $B$;
fin: end;
This procedure was designed for the 503 (but, of course, will not run without modification) with a fixed atom character length of 5 and a Flexowriter line length of 90 . The character count is the location $c$. The assumption of a fixed atom length can be overcome by the introduction of a side effect in the primitive put, that records the number of characters in an atom as they are transmitted to the output medium.

## Discussion

The hierarchy of the procedures is:
type, assemble, tag, inword, put
extract, atom, null
$h d, t l$, equ
clear, cut
cons, inatom, start
and will be needed if a requirement of the host compiler is that procedures cannot be called until they are declared, as on the 503.
This system is still under development, and its next implementation may be on a CDC 3600 . Any comments or suggestions will be welcome. Possible lines of development are to introduce data tables as well as unlimited length atoms, and to have aliases for the list names since the notation $x[i]$ is somewhat clumsy.
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